**REMARKS** 

The Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for thoroughly reviewing and considering

the pending application. The Office Action dated June 10, 2005 has been received and carefully

reviewed. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 10 have been amended. Claims 1-13 are currently pending.

Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 3-6 and 8-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over the Applicants' Related Art (hereinafter "the ARA") in view of U.S. Patent

No. 5,637,007 to Suzuki et al. (hereinafter "Suzuki"). The rejection of claims 1, 3-6 and 8-12 is

respectfully traversed and reconsideration is hereby requested.

None of the cited references disclose all the elements recited in claims 1, 3-6, and 8-

As required in Chapter 2143.03 of the M.P.E.P., in order to "establish prima facie

obviousness of the claimed invention, all the limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior

art." The Applicants respectfully submit that neither the ARA, nor Suzuki, either singularly or in

combination, disclose or suggest all the elements recited in claims 1, 3-6, and 8-12, as required

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). More specifically, claims 1, 5, and 10 have been amended to recite a

lamp apparatus for a liquid crystal display comprising, among other features, a resin which is

"filled in a cavity formed in the holder having the soldering and the wire." Neither the ARA nor

Suzuki, either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest this feature. Accordingly, claims

1, 5, and 10 are patentable over the cited references and the Applicants request that the rejection

be withdrawn. Similarly, claims 6, 11, and 12 which depend from claims 5 and 10 respectively,

are patentable for at least the same reasons.

6

DC:50367050.1

Application No.: 09/891,284

Amdt. dated October 11, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action dated June 10, 2005

Claims 3 and 8 have been amended to recite a liquid crystal display module

comprising, among other features, a resin "filled in a cavity formed in the holder having the

soldering and the wire." As noted above, neither of the cited references, either singularly or in

combination, disclose or suggest this feature. As such, claims 3 and 8 are patentable over the

cited references and the Applicants request that the rejection be withdrawn. Likewise, claims 4

and 9, which depend from claims 3 and 8 respectively, are also patentable for at least the same

reasons.

The Office Action also rejected claims 2, 7 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over the ARA in view of Suzuki as applied to claims 1, 5 and 10 above, and further

in view of JP 04046314 A to Saito (hereinafter "Saito"). As discussed above, claims 1, 5, and

10, from which claims 2, 7, and 13 depend, respectively, are patentably distinct over the ARA in

view of Suzuki. The Applicants submit that Saito does not address the shortcomings of both the

ARA and Suzuki. More specifically, Saito does not disclose a resin "filled in a cavity formed in

the holder having the soldering and the wire," as recited in claims 1, 5, and 10. Therefore, the

Applicants respectfully submit that a prima facie case of obviousness has not been established

and claims 2, 7 and 13 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the ARA in view of Suzuki

as applied to claims 1, 5 and 10 above and further in view of Saito and respectfully request that

the rejection be withdrawn.

The application is in a condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully

solicited. If for any reason the Examiner believes a conversation with the Applicant's

representative would facilitate the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is encouraged to

contact the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500. All correspondence should continue to be

sent to the below-listed address.

7

DC:50367050.1

Application No.: 09/891,284

Amdt. dated October 11, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action dated June 10, 2005

Docket No.: 8733.438.00

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: October 11, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Rebecca G, Rudich

Registration No.: 41,786

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

**Attorneys for Applicant**